G. R. S. 59] GPB—15,000—22-7-14

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1902-03
~~191~~ .
(Sarvai)

File No. 248

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

*Month*_____

SUBJECT.

Appointment of Gumbaz Daffedari at Gangam in the Seringapatam Tq.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES. LATER REFERENCES.

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICE NOTE.

SUBJECT:— Appointment of Gumbay Dappadari at Gangam in the Seringapatam Taluk.

LAST REFERENCE.

Letter No. 256 dated 14th march 1903

Form The Dy Commissioner Mysore District.

The Dy Commissioner says that the appointment of Gumbay Dappadari on a salary of Rs 6 per mensem held by one Muniyappa Khan, fell vacant in August 1902 that the appointment was given by the Sub-Division Officer (Mr Venkat Rao) to one Abdul Khader who was

was getting a salary of
Rs 4-8-0 per mensem; and
that several petitions were
thereupon received in his
(Dy Comr's) Office complaining
that the petitioners' claims
were overlooked in disposing
of the appointment - When
the Dy Comr× questioned ×Mr H. Ramamijmgar
the Taluk-* Dr Officer as to .*. Mr N. Venkat-Rao
why the vacancy was not
reported before the appointment
was filled up (as required
in Govt Rev No: 1057-65½ dated
Mrg Fl 90-93
14th April 1894) the Letter
— 1
gave him a reply that
"Vacancies in the emergi
"Duties whose Establishments
"have been revised and
"the Scale fixed did not
"require Govt sanction
for

A

for being filled up". The Sub-Div Officer further stated in his Memorandum No 1008 dated 26th December '07, that the Sub Magistrate, at the time of framing the revised Duaflik Patties, personally informed him that the filling up of the vacancies in the revised ~~Magisterial~~ Duaflik Patties Magisterial Posts in future might be undertaken by the Local Officers themselves -

2. The Dy Commr x enquires whether in future such vacancies and proposals to fill them up need not be reported to Govt for orders as is hitherto being done and whether in the present case the appointment made

x Mr Kuthbuddin Ahmed

+

made by the Sub-Dr. Office

ignoring the claims of

the Senior Holders can

be allowed to stand.

3. There are no reasons

in this Office to show that

in the recent Surgical

Dustry the appointments

may be made by the Local

Officers themselves, without

the formal sanction

of Government. But-

on the ~~other hand~~ contrary, the

late Surgical Sanitary

gave a reply to the × × In this Com: Rule: no doubt

Salvage Controller in

connection with a similar

question, that as it was

formerly hoped to have summarily

weeded out all the unnecessary

appointments at the time

of

of revision, such un-
-necessary re appointments
were purposely allowed
to stand in view that-
they might be absorbed
whenever vacancies
occured; and that-
therefore all vacancies
in a revised Roster or
non-revised Roster
must- continue to be
reported to Government-
as was being done —

Submitted

KS

25/5/03

Deputy Secretary's remarks:-

There are two points
raised by the Deputy
Commissioner :- Viz,
whether the appeal

Petitions received against the appointment made by the Sub Division Officer may be disposed of by him (Dy Comr.) on the merits and whether the vacancies occuring in the Mussrai Institutions and proposals to fill them up need not hereafter be reported to Government for orders, as is hitherto being done.

As regards the first point, I think that the Deputy Commr., as District Mussrai Officer, has the right to hear and dispose of appeals against the orders of the Sub Division Officer. Yes

As regards the 2nd point, I beg to invite attention to Govt. Pro:

No. 1057-65½ dated 14th
Mus. F 90-93
April 1894, in which,
with the view of
abolishing superfluous-
and ill paid offices
in temples and of
improving the tone
of the service by ~~in-~~
~~-creasing~~ increasing the
~~emoluments~~ emoluments of others
~~of others~~, it was directed
~~that~~ every vacancy
should be reported
to Government so
~~that~~ Government, on
a consideration of
each case, might de-
-cide regarding the
filling up or absorbing
of the vacancy in question.
The rule may, it is
submitted, be allowed
to continue.

a 317

Yes - only to the
extent of saving
the appointee to be paid
up arrear when the
question is decided the
real object of the Govt
should be carried out
if there any
objection does not

10645
7.6.03

Subd to the Dewan
6/6/02

1) Issue orders at once on the 1st point.

2) The second requires a carefully prepared Office Note which should be prepared as soon as the Mysore account question is disposed of.

23/1/04

Note contd:—

Orders on the 1st point were issued in Govt Pro: No 258 dy 25th July 1903 and the issue of separate orders promised on the 2nd point—

Abdul Khader who had been appointed Daffedar of the Gumbaz by the Sub Div. Officer, presented an appeal petn to this Office representing that the Dy Commr had given the appointment to one Rahiman. Upon this, the records were called for. ~~from the Dy Commr~~

From the English memo in the Vern: records received with the Dy Commrs letter No 139 dy 29th Dec 1903, it is observed that

that Mr. M. Kaustaraj IP has sanctioned the following arrangements.—

1. Rahimankhan, Muzavar on Rs 5 to be Daffedar on Rs 6.

2 Abdul Khader (acting Daffedar) & Tabarbardar (Appellant) on Rs 4½ to be Muzavar on Rs 5

3. Ahmed Khan, son of the deceased Daffedar to be Tabarbardar on Rs 4½.

The above arrangements seem to be deviation in the Gumbuz Establishment.

In regard to the allegation of Abdul Khader (appellant) that Rahimankhan (No 1 above) was convicted of theft, the D.C. points out that from the judgment of the Amildar Magistrate, it is clear that Rahimankhan was not convicted but that he was discharged as he was not found guilty. The post is reported to have been kept vacant as desired in this office no 10230 of 5-11-1903.

Submitted 19/11/04

Subd to the Dewan

The Dr/com^rs arrangements seem correct and may be carried out.

The appeal petition may, it is subd., be Rejected.

28/1/04

15505
29.1.04.

6.II.04

Draft submitted

1902/1903.

23-3-03.
789

From M. Kanthiraje Urs Avl
~~The~~ Deputy Commissioner of
the Mysore District

To L. Anantasamy Rao Avl
By The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore, Mysore
~~General and Revenue~~
Departments

23/3/03

Dated
Despatched 14th March 1903
Received

Enclosures No 1 to 6

General No 256

Re transmitting correspondence
relative to the appointment
of Gramsabz Muthavan as Garige
in the Serigapatna Taluk

No

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER.

Enclosures No.

MYSORE DISTRICT

Dated 14th [illegible] 190[illegible].

From [illegible]

To [illegible]

Deputy The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments

Sir,

I have the honour to submit herewith a file of Kannada correspondence regarding forest relating to the following of the Daffedars of the Gunjam establishment at Gunjam in the Seringapatam taluk, and to state that the appointment which fell vacant in August last by the death of Mrityuja Khan was under the orders of the Sub Division Officer, French Rocks dated 23rd September 1901 given to one Abdul Khader of the Gunjam establishment on Rs. 4-8 a his appointment has given to the late Daffedar's son Mahomed Khan Several petitions having been received in this office from the Gunjam Memorandums stating that they had been superseded, the Sub Division

Office was marked in this office [illegible]
No. 247 dated 10th December 1931 to [illegible]
as to how the appointment was [illegible]
up before Government when [illegible]
in the matter. In his reply No. 1068 dated
26th idem, the Sub-divisional Officer [illegible]
that vacancies in the Imperial Secretariat
whose establishments have been revised
and the scale fixed did not necessarily fall
vacant soon in forwarding filled up.

I therefore beg to be informed whether
in future such vacancies could not be filled
up and need not be reported for orders
of Government and whether in the present
case the appointment made by the Sub-
Divisional Officer ignoring the claims of the
Senior Lucknow case to be confirmed.

I have the honour to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant
[signature]
for Secretary to the Government
of the United Provinces.

(Off as per Dewan's order)

Mysore.

Read:—

Letter No. 256 dated 14th March 1903, from the Dy Commr., Mysore District reporting on the appointment of Gambura Dasappa Hedavi at Ganjam, Sreerangapatam Taluk.

No. 258 Ent/Ele 114-92

Order thereon.

Dy 25/7/1903.

In the communication read above, two points are raised by the Deputy Commr.— viz, (i) whether the appeal petitions received against the

appointments made by the Sub Division Officer of French Rocks, may be disposed of by him (Dr Commr.) on the merits, and (ii) whether the Vacancies occuring in the Nurses Posts whose Tasdiks have been revised by Govt., and proposals to fill them up need not hereafter be reported by Govt.

2. As regards the first point, the Govt. observe that the Dr Commr., as District Nursai Officer, has the

right — to hear and dispose of appeals against the orders of the Sub Division Officer.

3 Separate orders will issue on the second point raised by the Dy Commr.

23/7/03

Dewan's order

Dy Secretary
Revenue Dept

Dr
23/7/03

To, The Dy Commr
Mysore Dist
Records

711
26-10-03

By regd Post
Recd
26/10/03

Refers

ನಂ. ಆಡಳಿತ-61

(3) ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಗ್ರಂಥಾಲಯಗಳ ಅಧಿನಿಯಮ, 2000

ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಗ್ರಂಥಾಲಯ ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರ ಆಯ್ಕೆ

ಕ್ರ.ಸಂ. — — — — — — —

ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಗ್ರಂಥಾಲಯ ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರನ್ನು ಆಯ್ಕೆ ಮಾಡಲು 5 ಜನ ಪ್ರತಿನಿಧಿಗಳನ್ನು ಸೂಚಿಸಲು ಕೋರಿ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಗ್ರಂಥಾಲಯ ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರ ಆಯ್ಕೆಗಾಗಿ 5 ಜನ ಪ್ರತಿನಿಧಿಗಳನ್ನು ಸೂಚಿಸಲು ಕೋರಿ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಆಡಳಿತ-61 ಗ್ರಂಥಾಲಯ ಸಮಿತಿ 157 19.9.03 ಪತ್ರವು ಈ ಕಛೇರಿಗೆ ದಿನಾಂಕ 297 6.10.03 ರಂದು ಸ್ವೀಕೃತವಾಗಿರುತ್ತದೆ. ಸದರಿ ಪತ್ರದಲ್ಲಿ ಕೋರಿರುವಂತೆ ಈ ಕಛೇರಿಯಿಂದ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಆಡಳಿತ-61 ಗ್ರಂಥಾಲಯ ಸಮಿತಿ 3 12.10.03 ಪತ್ರದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಗ್ರಂಥಾಲಯ ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರ ಆಯ್ಕೆಗಾಗಿ ಸಭೆಯನ್ನು ದಿನಾಂಕ 16.10.03 ರಂದು ಏರ್ಪಡಿಸಲಾಗಿತ್ತು.

ಗ್ರಂಥಪಾಲಕರು

No. 1023 Ex/12
Mur. 114-92.
Dy 1024 Dy 5.11.03.

Early

Official memorandum

The accompanying appeal petition no 711 dated 23rd October 1903 from one Abdul Khader of Tirumangapatam, appealing against the order of the Dy Commr, in the matter of filling up the appointment of Daffadar in the Lambadies, is forwarded to the Dy Commr of the Nilgiris District, in continuation of Govt Memo no 258 Mur 114-92 dated 25th July 1903.

2. The appellant ~~states~~ represents that he was appointed Daffadar of the Lambadies by the Sub-Division Officer of Gudalur Rocks

French-Rocks in September 1901,
and that after holding
the appointment for two
years, the appointment was
given by the Dy Comm^r,
on the 20th of last-
mentioned to one Rahimoo,
who had been convicted
of theft in 1896-97.

3. The Dy Comm^r is to report upon the circumstances of the case and requested to submit the
entire records connected
with the appointment, together
with the criminal records
mentioned in the appeal
petition.

4. Until the appeal is finally disposed of by Government,
Dappedar's appointment
in question should be
kept vacant.

Dfl
ks
5/11/03.

5/11/03
Secretary

No. 1024 Dy 5.11.03
Encl.

1903-1904

From B. K. Venkatarangaiengar Esq
Deputy Commissioner of the Nilgiris District

To Lo. Arunachalam Rao Esqr
Deputy Secretary to the Govt of Mysore in the Revenue Department

Dated 29th December 1903

Despatched

Received

General No 179
No

Enclosure No 166

Transmitting the correspondence regarding the abolishment of Gumbuz Peshkarship at Kenchetty

MYSORE GOVERNMENT 1284 TYPIST

Refers
4/1/04

Deputy Commissioner's office
Mysore District

Judicial Department

No 139

Dated 24th December 1903.

To. Mantadani Rao Esq, B.A.
Deputy Secretary to the Govern-
-ment of Mysore in the Judicial
Department.

Sir, With reference to your official memo-
-randum. No 1023 dated the 5th November 1903
calling for the correspondence regarding the
appointment of Ramanuj Daffedar at Seringa
-patam, I have the honor to transmit here-
-with the entire correspondence ending with the
French Rocks Sub Division Officer's Appdi. No 756
dated the 30th November 1903.

2. The Enclosures attached to the above record
explains the circumstances under which Rahi
man was appointed by my predecessor to the post
of Daffedar in question. The Judgment of the
Seringapatam Taluk Amildar-Magistrate which
is also appended to the records shews that Rahiman
was not convicted as alleged by the appellant Ahmd
Khadir. He was discharged as he was not found
guilty. The post is kept vacant as desired in the
above official memo.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

Deputy Commissioner
of the Mysore District.

(101)

Mysore.

Read:—

Letr no. 711 dy 23rd Oct 1903 from Abdul Khader of Sreerangapatam appealing against the order of the Dy Commr in the matter of filling up the appointment of Daffedari in the Gumbuz at Sreerangapatam.

Read also:—

Letter no. 139 dy 29th Dec 1903 from the Dy Commr Mysore District, reporting on the above.

No. 2433 dy 15-2-04 Enquiries

Order thereon:—

From the English memo dy 9th Sept 1903, received with the letter read above, it is observed that the marginally noted arrangements have been

Rahimankhan, Muzavar on Rs5 to be Daffedar on Rs6
Abdul Khader, Tabarbander on Rs4½ to be Muzavar on Rs 5
3. Ahmed Khan son of the deceased to be Tabarbander on Rs 4½.

ordered by the Dy Comm^r.
(then Mr. M. Kantaraj urs)
in the matter of filling
up the vacant post of
Daffedar in the Gumbaz
at Sreerangapatam.

The arrangements are
~~correct and are accordingly~~
approved.

2. In regard ~~however~~
to the allegation of the appellant
that Rahiman Khan
was convicted of theft,
it appears
from the Judgment of the
Amildar Magistrate ~~it is~~
~~clear~~ that he was discharged
as he was not found guilty
The appeal petn of Abdul
Khader is rejected.

10/2/04

(Dewan's order).

Ps
1 04

Dsec
Nov 28th

To Med Comm
Copies D. Ret. Rec